

Appln. No. 09/905,722
Amendment Date: August 21, 2005
Reply to Office Action of April 21, 2005
Page 44

REMARKS

Applicant notes and appreciates the withdrawal of the rejection of claims 1-102, but newly rejects claim 52 based upon Bettaieb, U. S. Patent 6,760,363. To avoid this rejection and expedite the prosecution of this case, Applicant has cancelled claim 52.

The Examiner states that Claims 1-51 and 53-102 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome informalities relating to the need to properly identify references within parentheses. Further, contracted letter designations should be expanded and more explicitly recited by respective explanatory language. Applicant's Attorney has made a concerted review of these objections and has diligently tried to effectuate appropriate corrections including replacing semi-colons at the end of claims with a proper period.

The objection to claim 52 is moot in view of its present cancellation.

It is respectfully believed the present amendments overcome and avoid the Examiner's objections to claims 1-51 and 53-102 by appropriate corrections thereto. Therefore, it is believed this case now placed in allowable form. Accordingly, the Examiner's reconsideration and early allowance are respectfully solicited.

Jan 29 06 09:21p

Robert Brown

1-847-272-5424

p. 46

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)